

Secondary Metabolites from *Centaurea deusta* with Antimicrobial Activity

Anastasia Karioti^a, Helen Skaltsa^{a,*}, Diamanto Lazari^a, Marina Sokovic^b, Begoña García^c and Catherine Harvala^a

^a Department of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, Zografou, GR-157 71, Athens, Greece. Fax: +30108613965. E-mail: skaltsa@pharm.uoa.gr

^b Department of Plant Physiology, Mycological Laboratory, Institute for Biological Research, 29 Novembar 142, 11 000 Belgrade, Yugoslavia

^c Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Valencia University, 46100-Burjassot (Valencia), Spain

* Author for correspondence and reprint requests

Z. Naturforsch. **57c**, 75–80 (2002); received September 14/October 18, 2001

Centaurea deusta, Sesquiterpene Lactones, Antifungal and Antibacterial Activity

The aerial parts of *Centaurea deusta* Ten. afforded in addition to several known compounds, mainly sesquiterpene lactones, one new eudesmanolide and one new elemene derivative. Structures of the new compounds were elucidated by spectroscopic methods. The *in vitro* antifungal and antibacterial activities of the isolated compounds was tested, using the micro-dilution method. All compounds tested showed high antifungal activity.

Introduction

Continuing our research on the chemical constituents of Greek *Centaurea* sp. (Skaltsa *et al.*, 1999; 2000a; 2000b), we report here the results of the investigation of secondary metabolites from *C. deusta* Ten. [= *C. alba* subsp. *deusta* (Ten.) Nyman], a species, belonging to section *Phalolepis* (Mabberley, 1997). Isolated were the flavonoids cirsimaritin and salvigenin (Mabry *et al.*, 1970), the nor-isoprenoid 3S,5R-loliolide (**11**) (Hodges and Porte, 1964), two elemenolides (**1**, **2**), two related elemenes (**3**, **4**), four germacranolides (**5**–**8**) and two eudesmanolides (**9**–**10**). Compounds **4** and **10** are new naturally occurring sesquiterpene lactones. The *in vitro* antifungal and antibacterial activity of the isolated compounds were tested, using the micro-dilution method (Hanel and Raether, 1988; Daouk *et al.*, 1995).

Results and Discussion

The crude extracts of the aerial parts of *C. deusta* afforded the dehydromelitensine derivatives, 8 α -(3,4-dihydroxy-2-methylene-butanoyloxy) (**1**) (Bruno *et al.*, 1995), 8 α -(4-acetoxy-3-hydroxy-2-methylene-butanoyloxy) (**2**) (Bruno *et al.*, 1994), the elemenes methyl 8 α -(3,4-dihydroxy-2-methylene-butanoyloxy)-6 α , 15-dihydroxy-elema-1,3, 11(13)-trien-12-oate (**3**) (Cardona *et al.*, 1997) and

methyl 8 α -(4-acetoxy-3-hydroxy-2-methylene-butanoyloxy)-6 α , 15-dihydroxyelema-1, 3, 11(13)-trien-12-oate (**4**), the germacranolides cnicin (**5**) (Rustaiyan *et al.*, 1982), 4'-acetylnicnicin (**6**) (Jakupovic *et al.*, 1986), 3'-acetylnicnicin (**7**) (Polo, 1994) and 8 α -(4-acetyl-2-hydroxymethyl-buten-2-oyloxy)-salonitenolide (**8**) (Huneck *et al.*, 1986) and the eudesmanolides 8 α -(4-acetoxy-3-hydroxy-2-methylene-butanoyloxy)-4-*epi*-sonchu-carpolide (**9**) (Skaltsa *et al.*, 2000b) and 8 α -(4-acetoxy-3-hydroxy-2-methylene-butanoyloxy)-sonchucarpolide (**10**). Compounds **4** and **10** are new natural products.

The MS of **4** exhibited a molecular peak at *m/z* 452.2067, which agreed with the molecular formula C₂₃H₃₂O₉ and its IR spectrum afforded absorptions characteristic of hydroxyl and ester groups. The signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Table I) at δ 5.64 *dd*, 4.93 *d*, 4.89 *d*, 5.35 *s* and 4.98 *s* were assigned to H-1, H-2a, H-2b, H-3a and H-3b, respectively, of an elemene framework. The methyl ester chain on C-7 was evident from the two singlets for H-13a and H-13b at δ 6.27 and 5.72, respectively, and the methyl singlet at δ 3.76. A typical doublet at δ 2.13 (*J* = 10.0 Hz) for H-5, the signal at δ 4.18 (*t*, *J* = 10.6 Hz) for H-6 and the low field double triplet at δ 5.43 corresponding to H-8 indicated a *trans*-disposition of H-5/H-6, H-6/H-7 and H-7/H-8 and so the oxygenated functions



spectrum. In addition, from the NOE experiments further information was obtained (Fig. 1). The chair conformation of the cyclohexane ring derives from the NOE signals between H-8, H-6 and 10-CH₃ and H-5 with H-1, H-7 and one of the two protons of the 15-hydroxymethyl-group (H-15b). The α / β -orientation of the two vinyl groups gave rise to the NOE signals of H-2b with the 14-CH₃

and H-3b with H-6. We have assigned to compound **4** the structure of the new methyl 8 α -(4-acetoxy-3-hydroxy-2-methylenebutanoyloxy)-6 α , 15-dihydroxyelema-1, 3, 11(13)-trien-12-oate.

The MS of **10** showed a molecular peak at m/z 436.1715 [M]⁺ which agreed with the molecular formula C₂₂H₂₈O₉. Its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed typical signals that suggested an eudesmane framework. The analysis of the NMR spectra with the aid of ¹H–¹H COSY, HMQC and HMBC (Table I) showed that **10** has an eudesmanolide nucleus with an 8 α -acyl side chain with identical functionalisation and stereochemistry to compound **9** (Skaltsa *et al.*, 2000b) except for C-4. Due to the different orientation of the aldehyde group the following differences are observed: H-5 is shielded at δ 1.87 (vs δ 1.94 in compound **9**) giving a triplet with a coupling constant of 11.2 Hz, showing that this proton has a *trans*-diaxial disposition with H-4 and H-6. This suggests a

change in the configuration of C-4: H-4 is axial, 15-CHO is equatorial and appears as a doublet slightly shielded at δ 9.69 (vs **9**, where appears as singlet at δ 9.90), due to the coupling with H-4, which is also shielded. The conformation of the decaline skeleton is confirmed by the NOESY spectrum. In the ¹H NMR spectrum a sharp singlet at δ 2.05 (3H) suggested the presence of an acetate group. For the acetyl group we assigned the position C-4' in the basis of the low field shift of the signals of the H-4'a (δ 4.29) and H-4'b (δ 4.19) in **10**. A similar low field shift is observed for those protons in 4'-acetylnicin (**6**) (Jakupovic *et al.*, 1986) vs cnicin (**5**) (Barrero *et al.*, 1988; Rustaiyan *et al.*, 1982). Consequently, compound **10** is the new 8 α -(4-acetoxy-3-hydroxy-2-methylenebutanoyloxy)-sonchucarpolide.

The main compounds of *C. deusta* Ten. are cnicin and 4'-acetylnicin. When its chemical profile is compared to previously studied *Centaurea* sp. belonging to the same section, it appears that their main constituents are germacranolides: *C. alba* (Geppert *et al.*, 1983; Fernández *et al.*, 1995); *C. alba* ssp. *caliacrae* (Geppert *et al.*, 1983).

Additionally, the chemistry of this taxon is characterized by the absence of guaianolides, common metabolites of other *Centaurea* species (Connolly and Hill, 1991; Fraga, 1992; 1993; 1994; 1995; 1996; 1997; 1998; 1999; 2000).

From Table II, it can be seen that **8** possessed the highest antifungal potential, while **3** is of lower activity. All compounds tested show greater antifungal potential than miconazole, a commercial fungicide, which was used as a control, except against *Cladosporium cladosporioides*. These results are in agreement with our previously reported results on sesquiterpene lactones (Skaltsa *et al.*, 2000b). Concerning the antibacterial potential, only **5** is active against all bacterial strains tested, as expected (Vanhaelen-Fastre and Vanhaelen, 1976).

Experimental

General procedures

NMR: 400, 200 MHz (¹H) and 50.3 MHz (¹³C). Chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) values. MS: Fisons VG Autospec GC 8000 (CI, CH₄); Hewlett-Packard mod. 5988A; (EI, 70 eV). FT-IR Spectrometer: Perkin-Elmer Paragon 500. Polar-

Table I. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ values) data of **4** and **10** and ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) data of **10**.

Position	4 , δ_H , J (Hz)	10 , δ_H , J (Hz)	10 , δ_C
1	5.64 <i>dd</i> (10.8, 17.2)	3.42 <i>dd</i> (4.6, 11.2)	76.3
2a	4.93 <i>d</i> (10.8)	1.84 <i>m</i>	27.6
2b	4.89 <i>d</i> (17.2)	1.62 <i>m</i>	
3a	5.35 <i>br s</i>	1.98 <i>m</i>	22.6
3b	4.98 <i>br s</i>	1.53 <i>m</i>	
4	—	2.49 <i>tt</i> (4.2, 11.2)	42.6
5	2.13 <i>d</i> (10.0)	1.87 <i>t</i> (11.2)	48.2
6	4.18 <i>t</i> (10.6)	3.96 <i>t</i> (11.2)	78.6
7	2.73 <i>t</i> (10.8)	2.88 <i>tt</i> (3.0, 11.3)	52.7
8	5.43 <i>dt</i> (4.4, 11.0)	5.27 <i>dt</i> (4.6, 10.3)	69.8
9a	1.87 <i>dd</i> (4.4, 12.4)	2.56 <i>dd</i> (4.2, 13.0)	47.5
9b	1.57 <i>t</i> (12.4)	1.29 <i>dd</i> (11.2, 14.1)	
10	—	—	40.8
11	—	—	136.0
12	—	—	169.2
13a	6.27 <i>s</i>	6.12 <i>d</i> (2.9)	120.4
13b	5.72 <i>s</i>	5.54 <i>d</i> (2.9)	
14	1.15 <i>s</i>	1.00 <i>s</i>	14.1
15a	4.04 <i>d</i> (12.8)	9.69 <i>d</i> (4.1) (CHO)	201.8
15b	3.93 <i>d</i> (12.8)	—	
1'	—	—	164.6
2'	—	—	138.3
3'	4.63 <i>dd</i> (3.6, 6.6)	4.70 <i>dd</i> (3.7, 6.8)	69.7
4'a	4.18 <i>dd</i> (3.7, 11.8)	4.29 <i>dd</i> (3.7, 11.5)	67.1
4'b	4.07 <i>dd</i> (6.9, 11.9)	4.19 <i>dd</i> (6.8, 11.2)	
5'a	6.27 <i>br s</i>	6.35 <i>br s</i>	127.6
5'b	5.90 <i>br s</i>	6.03 <i>br s</i>	
CH ₃ O	3.76 <i>s</i>	—	
–OAc	2.04 <i>s</i>	2.05 <i>s</i>	
–OOCCH ₃			20.8
–OOCCH ₃			171.4

Table II. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimum fungicidal concentrations, nmol/ml.

Fungi	2 MIC (MFC)	3 MIC (MFC)	8 MIC (MFC)	10 MIC (MFC)	11* MIC (MFC)	Miconazole MIC (MFC)	Bifonazole MIC (MFC)
<i>A. niger</i>	2.5 (2.5)	1.2 (1.2)	0.6 (0.6)	0.6 (0.6)	7.5 (7.5)	3.0 (8.0)	0.3 (0.3)
<i>A. ochraceus</i>	2.5 (2.5)	1.2 (1.2)	0.6 (0.6)	0.6 (0.6)	7.5 (7.5)	3.0 (8.0)	0.3 (0.3)
<i>A. versicolor</i>	2.5 (2.5)	0.6 (1.2)	0.6 (1.2)	0.3 (0.6)	7.5 (7.5)	4.0 (8.0)	0.3 (0.3)
<i>A. flavus</i>	2.5 (2.5)	1.2 (1.2)	0.3 (0.6)	0.6 (1.2)	7.5 (7.5)	1.0 (8.0)	0.3 (0.3)
<i>P. ochrochloron</i>	5.0 (5.0)	1.2 (2.4)	0.6 (1.2)	1.2 (2.4)	10.0 (12.0)	2.0 (10.0)	0.45 (0.45)
<i>P. funiculosum</i>	1.25 (1.25)	1.2 (2.4)	0.6 (1.2)	1.2 (2.4)	10.0 (12.0)	4.0 (10.0)	0.45 (0.45)
<i>T. viride</i>	5.0 (7.5)	1.2 (2.4)	1.2 (2.4)	1.2 (1.2)	10.0 (15.0)	4.0 (4.0)	0.45 (0.45)
<i>C. cladosporioides</i>	1.25 (1.25)	0.3 (0.3)	0.15 (0.3)	0.15 (0.15)	3.75 (3.75)	0.06 (0.06)	0.2 (0.3)
<i>A. alternata</i>	1.25 (1.25)	0.075 (0.075)	<0.075 (0.075)	0.15 (0.15)	3.75 (3.75)	1.0 (1.0)	0.3 (0.3)

* The nos. on top denote the compounds shown in Fig. 1. All the components were tested in triplicate and MICs and MFCs were presented as mean values.

imeter: Perkin-Elmer 341. The optical rotation values were determined at 25 °C at 589 nm in CHCl₃ (Uvasol).

Chromatography

Vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC): silica gel (Merck; 43–63 µm), CC: silica gel (SDS; 40–63 µm), gradient elution with the solvents mixtures indicated in each case; HPLC: CE 1100 Liquid Chromatography Pump Techsil 10-C18 (250 × 10 mm).

Absorbents for TLC: Merck RP 18 F₂₅₄; Merck silica gel 60 F₂₅₄; solvents for TLC: mixt. of CH₂Cl₂ with MeOH; mixt. of cyclohexane with EtOAc. Detection on TLC-plates: UV-light, spray reagent (anisaldehyde-H₂SO₄ on silica gel).

Plant material

Aerial parts of *Centaurea deusta* were collected on Mount Pelion in June 1997 and authenticated by Dr. Th. Constantinidis (Institute of Systematic Botany, Agricultural University of Athens). A voucher specimen is deposited in the Herbarium of the Institute of Systematic Botany, University of Patras under the number Skaltsa and Lazari 107 (UPA).

Bioassays

For the bioassays nine fungi were used: *Aspergillus niger* (ATCC 6275), *Aspergillus ochraceus* (ATCC 12066), *Aspergillus versicolor* (ATCC 11730), *Aspergillus flavus* (ATCC 9643), *Penicillium ochrochloron* (ATCC 9112), *Penicillium funiculosum* (ATCC 36839), *Trichoderma viride* (IAM 5061), *Cladosporium cladosporioides* (ATCC 13276) and *Alternaria alternata* (DSM 2006).

The organisms were obtained from the Mycological Laboratory, Department of Plant Physiology, Institute for Biological research “Sinisa Stankovic”, Belgrade, Yugoslavia.

The micromycetes were maintained on malt agar (MA) and the cultures were stored at +4 °C and subcultured once a month (Booth, 1971).

To investigate the antifungal activity of the compounds the modified microdilution technique was used (Hanel and Raether, 1988; Daouk *et al.*, 1995). The fungal spores were washed from the surface of agar plates with sterile 0.85% saline containing 0.1% Tween 80 (vol/vol). The spore suspension was adjusted with sterile saline to a concentration of approximately 1.0×10^5 in a final volume of 100 µl per well. The inocula were stored at +4 °C for further use. Dilutions of the inocula

were cultured on solid MA to verify the absence of contamination and to check the validity of the inoculum.

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs), which inhibited fungal growth, were performed by a serial dilution technique using 96-well microtitre plates. Extracts of compounds investigated were dissolved in malt medium broth with fungal inoculum to achieve concentrations of 0.03–4 µg/ml. The microplates were incubated for 72 h at 28 °C. The lowest concentrations without visible growth (at the binocular microscope) were defined as MIC. The minimum fungicidal concentrations (MFCs), were determined by serial subcultivation of 2 µl into microtitre plates containing 100 µl of broth per well and further incubation for 72 h at 28 °C. The lowest concentration which killed the 99.5% of the original inoculum, spores and mycelium of the fungi, was defined as the MFC. Commercial fungicides, miconazole and bifonazole, were used as control (0.03–5 µg/ml). The antimicrobial activity of the compounds against the Gram-positive bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923) and *Bacillus subtilis* BBL 12084, the Gram-negative bacteria *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 35218) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) was evaluated using the microdilution technique. Streptomycin (solution of 1 mg/ml in H₂O, kindly provided by Alkon Lab. Hellas) was used as standard form the antibacterial activity.

Extraction and chromatography

The fresh plant material (0.86 kg) was finely ground and extracted at room temperature with cyclohexane–Et₂O–MeOH (1:1:1 v/v). The extract was washed with brine, the aq. layer re-extracted with EtOAc, and the organic layer dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue 22 g was prefractionated by VLC (Coll and Bowden, 1986) on silica gel, using cyclohexane–EtOAc–Me₂CO mixtures of increasing polarity as eluents to give several fractions. Frs C (hexane–EtOAc, 1:4), D (EtOAc), E (EtOAc–acetone, 9:1) and F (EtOAc–acetone, 4:1 v/v) were subjected to further chromatographic separations as described below.

Column chromatography of fr C (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 10:0 to 8:2) followed by further CC and several HPLC (MeOH–H₂O, 1:1) allowed the isolation of **2** (29.5 mg), **6** (386.0 mg), **7** (11.4 mg), **9** (9.5 mg) and **11** (7.8 mg). VLC of fr D (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 10:0 to 8:2) followed by further CC and several HPLC (MeOH–H₂O, 4:3, 1:1) allowed the isolation of **1** (29.5 mg), **2** (14.0 mg), **4** (3.6 mg), **5** (727.5 mg), a mixture of **5** and **9** (8.0 mg), a mixture of **5** and **1** (72.2 mg), **6** (129.1 mg), **7** (3.9 mg), **8** (3.3 mg) and **10** (7.2 mg). CC of fr E (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 10:0 to 7:3) followed by further CC and several HPLC (MeOH–H₂O, 1:1) allowed the isolation of **5** (283.7 mg), **6** (4.6 mg) and **10** (6.0 mg). CC of fr F (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 10:0 to 7:3) followed by further CC and several HPLC (MeOH–H₂O, 1:1) allowed the isolation of **3** (3.8 mg) and **5** (39.2 mg).

Methyl 8α-(4-acetoxy-3-hydroxy-2-methylenebutanoyloxy)-6α,15-dihydroxyelema-1,3,11(13)-trien-12-oate (4)

Oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +11.58$ (CHCl₃, c 0.10); IR ν_{\max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 3600–3300 (OH), 1773, 1764 (C=O, γ-lactone, ester), 1719, 1712 (C=O, acetate). CIMS m/z 452.2067 [M]⁺ (19) (C₂₃H₃₂O₉ requires 452.2046), 420 [M–MeOH]⁺ (5), 374 [M–AcOH–H₂O]⁺ (8), 360 [M–AcOH–MeOH]⁺ (6), 342 [360–H₂O]⁺ (5), 241 (100). ¹H NMR spectral data: see Table I.

8α-(3-hydroxy-4-acetoxy-2-methylenebutanoyloxy)-sonchucarpolide (10)

Oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +8.59$ (CHCl₃, c 0.09); IR ν_{\max}^{KBr} cm⁻¹: 3600–3300 (OH), 1773, 1764 (C=O, γ-lactone, ester), 1719, 1712 (C=O, acetate, aldehyde). CIMS m/z 436.1715 [M]⁺ (100) (C₂₂H₂₈O₉ requires 436.1733), 420 [M+H–H₂O]⁺ (30), 430 [M.CO]⁺ (14), 376 [M–AcOH]⁺ (80), 363 [M–Ac–H₂CO]⁺ (64), 279 [M–B]⁺ (15), 262 [M–BOH]⁺ (52). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectral data: see Table I.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. Theophanis Constantinidis, Lecturer at the Institute of Systematic Botany, Agricultural University of Athens for the identification of the plant material.

- Barrero A. F., Sanchez J. F., Rodriguez I. and Soria Sanz C. (1988), Germacranolides of *Centaurea malacitana*. *Anales de Química, Serie C*, **84**, 344–347.
- Booth C. (1971), Fungal Culture Media. In: *Methods in Microbiology*, 4th ed. (J. R. Norris and D. W. Ribbons, eds). Academic Press, London, New York, pp. 49–94.
- Bruno M., Fazio C., Passanati S., Paternostro M. P., Diaz J. G. and Herz W. (1994), Sesquiterpene lactones from *Centaurea sphaerocephala* ssp. *sphaerocephala*. *Phytochemistry* **35**, 1371–1372.
- Bruno M., Fazio C., Paternostro M. P., Diaz J. G. and Herz W. (1995), Sesquiterpene lactones and other constituents from *Centaurea napifolia*. *Planta Med.* **61**, 374–375.
- Cardona M. L., García B., Muñoz M. C., Navarro F. I. and Pedro J. R. (1997), New sesquiterpenes and other constituents from *Centaurea paui*. *Liebigs Ann./Recueil* **3**, 527–532.
- Coll J. C. and Bowden B. F. (1986), The application of vacuum liquid chromatography to the separation of terpene mixtures. *J. Nat. Prod.* **49**, 943–946.
- Connolly J. D. and Hill R. A. (1991), *Dictionary of Terpenoids*, Vol. **1**, Chapman and Hall, London.
- Daouk R. K., Dagher S. M. and Sattout E. J. (1995), Antifungal activity of the Essential oil of *Origanum syriacum* L. *J. Food Prot.* **58**, 1147–1149.
- Fernández I., Pedro J. R. and Polo E. (1995) Sesquiterpene lactones from *Centaurea alba* and *C. conifera*. *Phytochemistry* **38**, 655–657.
- Fraga B. M., (1992; 1993; 1994; 1995; 1996; 1997; 1998; 1999; 2000), Natural Sesquiterpenoids. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **9**, 217–241 and 557–580; **10**, 397–419; **11**, 533–554; **12**, 303–320; **13**, 307–326; **14**, 145–162; **15**, 73–92; **16**, 21–38; **16**, 711–730; **17**, 483–504.
- Geppert B., Drozd B., Kielczewski M. and Holub M. (1983), Sesquiterpene lactones. XXIII. Isolation of sesquiterpene lactones from *Centaurea* L. species. *Acta Soc. Bot. Pol.* **52**, 23–34.
- Hanel H. and Raether W. (1988), A more sophisticated method of determining the fungicidal effect of water-insoluble preparations with a cell harvester, using miconazole as an example. *Mycoses* **31**, 148–154.
- Hodges R. and Porte A. L. (1964), The structure of loliolide. *Tetrahedron* **20**, 1463–1467.
- Huneck S., Jakupovic J. and Schuster A. (1986), Further compounds from *Centaurea stoebe*. *Planta Med.* **5**, 398–399.
- Jakupovic J., Jia Y., Pathack V. P., Bohlmann F. and King R. M. (1986), Bisabolene derivatives and sesquiterpene lactones from *Centaurea* species. *Planta Med.* **5**, 399–401.
- Mabberlay D. J., (1997). *The Plant Book*, 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. p. 440.
- Mabry T. J., Markham K. R. and Thomas M. B. (1970), *The Systematic Identification of Flavonoids*. Springer, New York.
- Polo-Toribio E. (1994), Sesquiterpene lactones from *Centaurea alba* and *C. conifera*. Tesis de licenciatura, Valencia (Burjassot).
- Rustaiyan A., Niknejad A. and Aynechi Y. (1982), Chemical constituents of *Centaurea bruguieriana*. *Planta Med.* **44**, 185–187.
- Skaltsa H., Lazari D., Georgiadou E., Kakavas S. and Constantinidis Th. (1999), Sesquiterpene lactones from *Centaurea* species: *C. thessala* subsp. *drakiensis* and *C. attica* subsp. *attica*. *Planta Med.* **65**, 393.
- Skaltsa H., Lazari D., Garcia B., Pedro J., Sokovic M. and Constantinidis Th. (2000a), Sesquiterpene lactones from *Centaurea achaia*, a greek endemic species. Antifungal activity. *Z. Naturforsch.* **55c**, 534–539.
- Skaltsa H., Lazari D., Panagouleas C., Georgiadou E., Garcia B. and Sokovic M. (2000b), Sesquiterpene lactones from *Centaurea thessala* Hausskn. ssp. *drakiensis* (Freyn & Sint.) Georg. and *Centaurea attica* Nym. ssp. *attica*. Antifungal activity. *Phytochemistry* **55**, 903–908.
- Vanhaelen-Fastre R. and Vanhaelen M. (1976), Antibiotic and cytotoxic activity of cnicin and its hydrolysis products. Chemical structure-biological activity relation. *Planta Med.* **29**, 179–189.